

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. (JCR) announces the following credit rating.

Concordia Financial Group, Ltd. (security code: 7186)

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating:	AA
Outlook:	Stable
Bonds (Tier 2 with loss absorption clause):	AA-
Shelf Registration:	Preliminary AA

The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd. (security code: -)

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating:	AA
Outlook:	Stable

The Higashi-Nippon Bank, Limited (security code: -)

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating:	AA
Outlook:	Stable

Rationale

- (1) The Concordia Financial Group (the “Group”) is a regional financial group having, along with others, The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd. (“BOY”), The Higashi-Nippon Bank, Limited (“HNB”) and THE KANAGAWABANK, LTD. (collectively, the “Three Banks”) under the umbrella of the holding company. The fund volume of 20 trillion yen of the Three Banks combined is one of the largest in the industry, and the Group’s broad network covers nine prefectures, mainly Kanagawa and Tokyo. On April 1, 2025, the Group acquired through the holding company 85% of the equity interests in L&F Asset Finance, Ltd. (“L&F”; formerly Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Loan & Finance Co., Ltd.), which engages in the real estate finance business. The remaining 15% interests will continue to be held by Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited (“SMTB”), and the Group intends to serve a wider range of customers, properties, etc. in the apartment loan and mortgage loan sectors as a joint venture with SMTB.
- (2) JCR deems the Group’s creditworthiness to be equivalent to a AA rating in consideration of the good earnings capacity underpinned by the large customer base and the provision of diverse solutions, as well as other factors including sound assets supported by disciplined credit risk management and sufficient capital adequacy relative to risks. The profit level has risen for BOY, which is the core of the Group, with growth in net interest income and completion of loss disposals in the market division, and profit stability is improving for HNB thanks to successful efforts to cut expenses, strengthen credit risk management, etc. Increasing basic earnings capacity while retaining asset quality and capital adequacy across the board remains a key to the future rating decisions.
- (3) Earnings capacity is among the largest in the industry. Core net business income of the Three Banks’ combined (excluding gains/losses on cancellation of investment trusts) is on the rise, and ROA based on this income stands high in the mid-0.5% range. By responding to wide-ranging needs of corporate and retail customers, the Group is improving both interest on loans and fees/commissions. In light of the strong deposit-raising base, a high ratio of floating-rate loans, etc., policy interest rate hikes will probably be fairly highly effective in boosting profits. Given also L&F’s stable performance, JCR assumes that the Group as a whole will maintain high earnings capacity.
- (4) The quality of loan assets is good. Non-performing loans ratio under the Financial Reconstruction Act of the Three Banks’ combined stays low in the lower 1% range. While the impact of changes in the real estate market needs to be watched, JCR views that credit costs will remain well within the scope of core net business income into the future, thanks to strict credit management. Risks in the market division are controlled appropriately partly through the use of the framework of the integrated risk management system. BOY, which manages the majority of securities holdings, began managing the

portfolio by objective in the fiscal year ended March 2024 (FY2023) and is now taking steps to secure stable income gains and realized gains/losses and to build a portfolio with loss-absorbing capacity.

- (5) Capital adequacy is high for a regional bank in JCR's AA rating category. The Group's adjusted consolidated Tier 1 capital ratio is high among entities in JCR's AA rating category, and capital is sufficient against risks. Looking ahead, the full-scale implementation of the finalized Basel III framework, in addition to the expansion of risk assets associated with strategic investments, including an increase in operating assets and the L&F acquisition, will likely push down the capital level. However, as far as risk assets are concerned, the Group intends to control them while seeking balance with profitability. As profit accumulation can be expected to a certain extent, backed by high earnings capacity, JCR assumes that the good capital level will be maintained.

Issuer: Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.

Concordia Financial Group, Ltd. ("Concordia FG") is the financial holding company of the Group. JCR deems its issuer rating to be equivalent to the Group's creditworthiness. Double leverage ratio remains within a certain range. In light of such factors as stable cash flow balance and the Group's financial management policy, JCR does not reflect the structural subordination of a holding company in the rating for Concordia FG.

Issuer: The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.

- (1) BOY is a regional bank headquartered in Yokohama City, Kanagawa Prefecture with a fund volume of 18 trillion yen. With a large customer base for both the corporate and retail sectors, it has a solid business base as the leading bank in the prefecture. Given, among others, that it occupies the core position in the Group, its issuer rating is deemed to be equivalent to the Group's creditworthiness. Jointly with KANAGAWA BANK, which has become a subsidiary, BOY is working to quickly realize the benefits of the integration by, for instance, co-hosting seminars for customers, collaborating with its affiliates, exchanging personnel and improving operational efficiency through the use of digital technology.
- (2) The Group's high earnings capacity is underpinned primarily by BOY's. Core net business income (excluding gains/losses on cancellation of investment trusts) bottomed out in FY2018, and its growth is currently driven by the increase in net interest income. ROA based on core net business income is high in the 0.5% range, and profitability is also high relative to risk assets. While loans to both corporate and retail customers have grown fairly rapidly in the loan business, constant investment in domestic and foreign bonds, equities, etc. in the market division has helped expand the earnings. Net fees and commissions also stay at a high level as BOY is successfully promoting structured finance for corporate customers and responding to the needs of asset management and succession from retail customers and so forth.
- (3) Loan assets are highly sound. Non-performing loans ratio under the Financial Reconstruction Act is low in the lower 1% range, and the ratio of categorized assets and the risk of concentration to large borrowers are also contained. Real estate-related loans account for a large part of the total but are mostly those to wealthy individuals with asset backgrounds. As regards LBO and other loans, BOY is carefully building up the balance with due consideration given to product characteristics. In FY2024, BOY reviewed its credit limit management methods and introduced a conservative allowance method in light of changes in the external environment. Given sound assets and strict credit risk management, JCR assumes that credit costs will be kept low into the future. No excessive risk-taking in the market division is observed, and BOY is making such efforts as accumulating valuation gains through equity investments and other means.

Issuer: The Higashi-Nippon Bank, Limited

- (1) HNB is a regional bank II headquartered in Tokyo with a fund volume of 1.6 trillion yen. It has completed the review of the branch network, including Ibaraki Prefecture where it originally started, and concentration of management resources in the 23 wards of Tokyo, and the majority of deposits and loans outstanding are now located in Tokyo. Given possible support from the Group, HNB's issuer rating is deemed to be equivalent to the Group's creditworthiness. Aiming to become a total partner for small and medium-sized enterprises, HNB is focusing on strengthening its relations with customers.
- (2) Earnings capacity is mostly favorable. Core net business income (excluding gains/losses on cancellation of investment trusts) has improved rapidly since FY2020, and ROA based on this income has recovered to the 0.4% range, surpassing the regional bank average. HNB is increasing fees and

commissions partly through loan-related fees while reducing expenses by streamlining sales offices and staff. It is also stepping up its efforts in the fee business for corporate customers, in addition to increasing apartment loans and SME lending.

- (3) Because of a large volume of real estate-related loans, changes in market conditions require attention. Yet, non-performing loans ratio under the Financial Reconstruction Act has fallen to below 3%, and a high coverage ratio is secured. Given also that the risk of concentration on large borrowers is contained, JCR views that, under the current circumstances, the possibility of an unexpected increase in credit costs is limited. Risks in the market division are low. With a low securities-to-deposit ratio, HNB is building a portfolio mainly comprised of domestic bonds under the prudent investment policy.

Hidekazu Sakai, Michiya Kidani

Rating

Issuer: Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating: AA Outlook: Stable

Issue	Amount (bn)	Issue Date	Due Date	Coupon	Rating
Callable Bonds no. 7 (Tier 2 with loss absorption clause)	JPY 20	Sept. 3, 2020	Sept. 3, 2030	(Note)	AA-

Note: 0.60% until and including September 3, 2025. 6M Euroyen LIBOR + 0.62% after that date.

Shelf Registration: Preliminary AA

Maximum: JPY 200 billion

Valid: Two years effective from July 29, 2023

Issuer: The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating: AA Outlook: Stable

Issuer: The Higashi-Nippon Bank, Limited

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating: AA Outlook: Stable

Rating Assignment Date: March 27, 2025

The assumptions for the credit ratings and the definitions of the rating symbols are published as "Types of Credit Ratings and Definitions of Rating Symbols" (January 6, 2014) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/>).

Outline of the rating methodology is shown as "JCR's Rating Methodology" (October 1, 2024), "Banks" (October 1, 2021), "Rating Methodology for Financial Groups' Holding Companies and Group Companies" (September 1, 2022) and "Rating Methodology for Financial Institutions' Capital and TLAC Instruments" (April 27, 2017) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/>).

The rating stakeholder participated in the rating process of the aforementioned credit ratings.

A preliminary rating is a credit rating assigned as a preliminary evaluation while material terms for issue to be rated are not yet finalized. When the issuing terms are finalized, JCR will confirm them and will assign a credit rating anew. The rating level of the final rating may be different from that of the preliminary rating, depending on the final content of the terms, etc.

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026

Information herein has been obtained by JCR from the issuers and other sources believed to be accurate and reliable. However, because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, JCR makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to accuracy, results, adequacy, timeliness, completeness or merchantability, or fitness for any particular purpose, with respect to any such information, and is not responsible for any errors or omissions, or for results obtained from the use of such information. Under no circumstances will JCR be liable for any special, indirect, incidental or consequential damages of any kind caused by the use of any such information, including but not limited to, lost opportunity or lost money, whether in contract, tort, strict liability or otherwise, and whether such damages are foreseeable or unforeseeable. JCR's ratings and credit assessments are statements of JCR's current and comprehensive opinion regarding redemption possibility, etc. of financial obligations assumed by the issuers or financial products, and not statements of opinion regarding any risk other than credit risk, such as market liquidity risk or price fluctuation risk. JCR's ratings and credit assessments are statements of opinion, and not statements of fact as to credit risk decisions or recommendations regarding decisions to purchase, sell or hold any securities such as individual bonds or commercial paper. The ratings and credit assessments may be changed, suspended or withdrawn as a result of changes in or unavailability of information as well as other factors. JCR receives a rating fee paid by issuers for conducting rating services in principle. JCR retains all rights pertaining to this document, including JCR's rating data. Any reproduction, adaptation, alteration, etc. of this document, including such rating data, is prohibited, whether or not wholly or partly, without prior consent of JCR.

JCR is registered as a "Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization" with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the following four classes. (1) Financial institutions, brokers and dealers, (2) Insurance Companies, (3) Corporate Issuers, (4) Issuers of government securities, municipal securities and foreign government securities.

JCR publishes its press releases regarding the rating actions both in Japanese and in English on the same day. In case that it takes time to translate rating rationale, JCR may publicize the summary version, which will be replaced by the full translated version within three business days. (Regarding Structured Finance products, JCR only publicize the summary version in English.)



INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Disclosure Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of Rule 17g-7

Issuer:	Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.
Issuer:	The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.
Issuer:	The Higashi-Nippon Bank, Limited
Rating Publication Date:	April 1, 2025

1

The Symbol, Number, or Score in the Rating Scale used to Denote Credit Rating Categories and Notches and, the Identity of the Obligor or the Identity and a Description of the Security or Money Market Instrument as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(A) of Rule 17g-7

- Please see the news release. If the credit rating is a private rating, please see the report for private rating.

2

The version of the procedure or methodology used to determine the credit rating; as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(B) of Rule 17g-7

- Please see the news release. If the credit rating is a private rating, please see the report for private rating.

3

The Main Assumptions and Principles used in Constructing the Procedures and Methodologies used to Determine the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(C) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, to be applied to assess the likelihood of a given debt payment in light of its issuer's condition and business environment, etc. in the relevant future. There is certain limitation, however, in the time horizon that the rating foresees.
- The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, that the factors posted in the below are particularly important for such likelihood to be determined, and that the rating determination is made by evaluating each of them not only quantitatively but also employing qualitative analyses.

A) Business Bases

The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly conditional to its issuer's business bases - how they can be maintained/ expanded into the future and thereby secure earnings and cash flows in adequacy and in a sustainable way.

B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the degree of the issuer's indebtedness and loss absorption capacity in terms of equity capital. Also notable is that a financial institution might see a significant loss of financial grounds as a result of changes in value of the assets under its possession.

C) Liquidity Positions

The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the adequacy of the issuer's cash and other sources of repayment (liquidity positions).

D) Related Parties' Status and Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Issuer

The likelihood of debt payment is affected one way or the other by the issuer's related parties such as parent company, subsidiary, guarantor, and the government of the issuer's business domicile, etc. - by their own conditions and/ or position of support/ assistance for the issuer.

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment

The likelihood of debt payment can be different between given debts of the same issuer. The likelihood of debt payment for an individual debt is dependent on the issuer's discretion, and/ or its rank relative to other debts of the same issuer in the order of seniority in principal/ interest payment which is determined by design as financial product or by laws, etc.

4 The Potential Limitations of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(D) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- The objective of the credit rating herewith presented does not include any concerns other than the likelihood of debt payment, such as risks of price changes, market liquidity, etc.
- The credit rating herewith presented is necessary to be reviewed along with possible changes of the issuer of rated objects in its business performance and/ or circumstances which include regulatory environment, and hence subject to possible alteration.

5 Information on the Uncertainty of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(E) of Rule 17g-7

- The information used for the determination of credit rating as herewith presented is obtained by JCR from the issuer of rated objects and other sources that JCR trusts in terms of accuracy and reliability but possibly contains errors due to human, non-human or other causes. Consequently, the credit rating determined on the grounds of such information does not constitute, explicitly or implicitly, any representation or warrant of JCR on the information itself or any consequences of its use in terms of accuracy, relevance, timeliness, wholeness, market value, or usefulness for any specific purposes.

6 Use of Due Diligence Services of a Third Party in Taking the Rating Action as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(F) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any third-party due diligence service in the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.

7 Use of Servicer or Remittance Reports to Conduct Surveillance of the Credit Rating Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(G) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any servicer or remittance report to conduct surveillance of the credit rating herewith presented.

8 The Types of Data Relied Upon for the Purpose of Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(H) of Rule 17g-7

- The information posted in the below, which includes data, is used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.
 - A) Audited financial statements presented by the rating stakeholders
 - B) Explanations of business performance, management plans, etc. presented by the rating stakeholders

9 Overall assessment of the Quality of Information Available and Considered in Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(I) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR holds its basic policies for securing the quality of information as a base of due diligence for the determination of credit ratings. The information used as a base for the determination of credit rating herewith presented satisfies such policies, which include the audit by an independent auditor, the warranty made by the issuer, the publication by the issuer, some independent media or, otherwise, JCR analyst's scrutiny, etc.
- JCR sees no particular weakness in the quality of information used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented as compared to the information used in other cases of the credit rating for comparable issuers or ratable objects.
- If the credit rating is an Indication, please see the report for Indication.

10 Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR receives payment of compensation for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented from either one of those parties who are issuer, underwriter, depositor or sponsor.
- JCR received in the last fiscal year in the past payment of compensation from The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd. for any kind of JCR's service other than the determination of public or private credit rating, such as one in the ancillary business.
- JCR did not receive in the last fiscal year in the past payment of compensation from Concordia Financial Group, Ltd. and The Higashi-Nippon Bank, Limited for any kind of JCR's service other than the determination of public or private credit rating, such as one in the ancillary business.

11 Explanation or Measure of the Potential Volatility of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(K) of Rule 17g-7

A) Business Bases

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is improvement or deterioration of the issuer's business bases, since its revenue, etc. may improve or deteriorate by the change in its business management policies, clients' preferences, competitive situation, or a technological innovation. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the business bases is large.

B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The credit rating is subject to alteration if the issuer increases/ decreases its debt/ capital or vice versa and thereby makes its individual debt payment liability less or more bearable and its loss absorption capacity into the future decreased or increased. Also, the changes in the quality of asset under the issuer's holding may affect the credit rating, since such changes could raise or lower the likelihood of future loss of the issuer's financial grounds. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the financial grounds and/ or asset quality is large.

C) Liquidity Positions

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's financial management policy or in the relations with fund procurement sources and the change thereby makes its liquidity positions improve or deteriorate. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

D) Related Parties' Status and Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Issuer

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's parent company or subsidiary, guarantor or other provider of credit enhancement, or the government of the issuer's business domicile, or other related parties' own conditions and/ or position of support/ assistance for the issuer, and the change thereby makes its business bases, financial grounds and/ or liquidity positions improve or deteriorate, and/ or making the effectiveness of guarantee and other credit enhancement improve or deteriorate. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment and Non-Payment Forgiven by Contract

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the rated debt's status in the order of seniority relative to other debts caused by the improvement/ deterioration of the issuer's financial condition. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large. Also, in case of the financial products for which non-payment of interest/ principal is contractually permissible, the credit rating is subject to alteration if and when the likelihood of such non-payment is projected to increase or decrease. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but often as much as a few notches.

F) Rise and Fall in General Economy and Markets

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a rise/ fall in the general economy and/ or the markets inducing the issuer's revenues/ expenses to increase/ decrease and vice versa, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is exceptionally large.

G) Various Events

The credit rating is subject to alteration on occurrence of various events, such as change in the issuer's major shareholders, M&A and other organizational change, accident, violation of the law, litigation, legal/ regulatory change, natural disaster, etc., which are unforeseeable at the time when the credit rating is determined, causing a significant change on the issuer's business bases, financial grounds, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but more often than not as much as a few notches.

12

Information on the Content of the Credit Rating, Including the Historical Performance of the Credit Rating and the Expected Probability of Default and the Expected Loss in the Event of Default as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(L) of Rule 17g-7

- Historical records of the credit rating herewith presented are posted in the end of this paper.
- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- Facts of the probability of default are posted as Form NRSRO Exhibit 1 on the JCR website under the URL:

<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/service/company/regu/nrsro/>

13 Information on the Sensitivity of the Credit Rating to Assumptions Made as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(M) of Rule 17g-7

A) Business Bases

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's business bases and powers of earning or cash flow generation, etc. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's business bases on some drastic change in the operational environments, etc.

B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's financial grounds and asset quality. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's financial grounds and/ or asset quality on some drastic change in its business bases.

C) Liquidity Risks

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's liquidity positions. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's liquidity positions on some drastic change in its financial management policy or relations with fund procurement sources, etc.

D) Related Parties' Status and Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Issuer

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's parent company or subsidiaries, guarantor or other providers of credit enhancement, the government of the issuer's business domicile or other related parties' status and stance of support/ assistance for the issuer. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if there is a major change on the part of related parties, such as replacement, disappearance, some drastic improvement/ deterioration of financial grounds/ balances, etc.

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment and Non-Payment Forgiven by Contract

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the order of seniority in repayment of interests and principal. JCR assumes the resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch. The change could be as much as a few notches if the issuer's financial structure differs so much and thereby the balance between debts shifted so greatly. Rating change is also possible in case of the financial products for which non-payment of interest/ principal is contractually permissible, if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turns out to be inaccurate. The change of the credit rating is assumed to be by a notch but often as much as a few notches.

14 Information on the Representations, Warranties, and Enforcement Mechanisms of an Asset-backed Security as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(N) of rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented is not for an ABS product, and hence no relevant issue.

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026

The Historical Performance of the Credit Rating

Issuer Name	Issue Name	Publication Date	Rating	Outlook/Direction
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	March 31, 2016	AA	Stable
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	December 28, 2016	AA	Stable
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	March 28, 2018	AA	Stable
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	May 28, 2019	AA	Stable
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	March 3, 2020	AA	Stable
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	April 13, 2021	AA	Stable
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	April 21, 2022	AA	Stable
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	April 27, 2023	AA	Stable
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	May 2, 2024	AA	Stable
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Shelf Registration	July 21, 2023	AA	
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Shelf Registration	May 2, 2024	AA	
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Bonds no.6(Subordinated)	March 6, 2020	AA-	
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Bonds no.6(Subordinated)	April 13, 2021	AA-	
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Bonds no.6(Subordinated)	April 21, 2022	AA-	
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Bonds no.6(Subordinated)	April 27, 2023	AA-	
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Bonds no.6(Subordinated)	May 2, 2024	AA-	
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Bonds no.7(Subordinated)	August 27, 2020	AA-	
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Bonds no.7(Subordinated)	April 13, 2021	AA-	
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Bonds no.7(Subordinated)	April 21, 2022	AA-	
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Bonds no.7(Subordinated)	April 27, 2023	AA-	
Concordia Financial Group, Ltd.	Bonds no.7(Subordinated)	May 2, 2024	AA-	

The Historical Performance of the Credit Rating

Issuer Name	Issue Name	Publication Date	Rating	Outlook/Direction
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	March 12, 2007	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	February 17, 2009	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	April 9, 2010	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 20, 2011	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 3, 2012	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 26, 2013	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 29, 2014	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 18, 2015	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	December 28, 2016	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	March 28, 2018	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	May 28, 2019	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	March 3, 2020	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	April 13, 2021	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	April 21, 2022	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	April 27, 2023	AA	Stable
The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	May 2, 2024	AA	Stable

The Historical Performance of the Credit Rating

Issuer Name	Issue Name	Publication Date	Rating	Outlook/Direction
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	September 16, 2004	BBB+	
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	September 14, 2005	A-	
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	September 13, 2006	A-	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	September 18, 2007	A-	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	September 19, 2008	A-	Negative
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	December 17, 2009	A-	Negative
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	December 6, 2010	A-	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	December 1, 2011	A-	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	November 28, 2012	A-	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	October 10, 2013	A-	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	October 29, 2014	A-	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	November 14, 2014	#A-	Positive
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	January 27, 2016	AA-	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	December 28, 2016	AA-	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	March 28, 2018	AA-	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	May 28, 2019	AA	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	March 3, 2020	AA	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	April 13, 2021	AA	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	April 21, 2022	AA	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	April 27, 2023	AA	Stable
The Higashi-Nippon Bank,	Issuer(Long-term)	May 2, 2024	AA	Stable

Attestation Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of Rule 17g-7

I, Tomohiro Miyao, have responsibility to this Rating Action and to the best of my knowledge:

- A) No part of the credit rating was influenced by any other business activities.
- B) The credit rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor, security, or money market instrument being rated.
- C) The credit rating was an independent evaluation of the credit risk of the obligor, security, or money market instrument.

宮尾 知浩

Tomohiro Miyao

General Manager of Financial Institution Rating Department

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026