

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. (JCR) announces the following credit rating.

Jimoto Holdings, Inc. (security code: 7161)

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating: BBB-
Outlook: Stable

Kirayaka Bank, Ltd. (security code: -)

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating: BBB-
Outlook: Stable

THE SENDAI BANK LTD. (security code: -)

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating: BBB-
Outlook: Stable

Rationale

- (1) The Jimoto Group (the "Group") is a regional financial group having Kirayaka Bank, Ltd. ("Kirayaka Bank") in Yamagata Prefecture, THE SENDAI BANK LTD. ("SENDAI BANK") in Miyagi Prefecture and others under the umbrella of holding company Jimoto Holdings, Inc. ("Jimoto HD"). Its fund volume of 2.4 trillion yen is midsize for a regional financial group and a bank in the Tohoku region. Jimoto HD is an equity-method affiliate of SBI Regional Bank Holdings, Co., Ltd. ("SBI Regional Bank HD"), but the rating reflects the Group's creditworthiness because the degree of SBI Regional Bank HD's control over and involvement in Jimoto HD and Jimoto HD's managerial importance to SBI Regional Bank HD appear to be low.
- (2) The Group has a certain business base in Tohoku region. On the other hand, it faces challenges such as raising the underlying earnings capacity, improving the quality of loan assets, eliminating valuation losses on securities and raising capital adequacy. For these, JCR deems the Group's creditworthiness to be equivalent to the rating of BBB-. JCR is keeping an eye on the measures taken to resolve various issues and their outcomes.
- (3) The earning capacity is somewhat low as a regional bank in the BBB categories. ROA based on core net business income of the two banks combined is low at the middle of 0.1% and OHR (core gross business profit) is high at the middle of 80%. Due in part to constraining risk-taking activities in the market division, narrow interest rate spread on total funds is pressing down profitability. On the other hand, the downward trend in core net business income (excluding gains/losses on cancellation of investment trusts) is being halted gradually. JCR views that underlying profits will remain steady, supported by factors such as the widening interest rate spread between deposit and loan, and the growth in loan balance.
- (4) Loan asset quality is relatively pale. Non-performing loans ratio under the Financial Reconstruction Act of the two banks combined declined from the latest peak but has remained at a relatively high, standing at 4.7% as at the end of the first half of the fiscal year ending March 2026 (FY2025). Credit costs have been settled down since FY2024, but JCR will closely watch whether the costs can be controlled in the future as well in light of the situation in large borrowers. The market division's risk exposures are small for both banks, and they are continuing cautious approach to risk-taking activities, but holding a large amount of valuation loss.

- (5) Capital adequacy is somewhat low. Consolidated core capital ratio has been maintained at around 8%, which is a comfortable level compared to the required standard for the banks operating within Japan. On the other hand, the proportion of public capital in the core capital is high. As for multiple public funds, JCR recognizes the equity content considering the fact that the number of years to the conversion date, which is a benchmark of substantive repayment due date, is long. However, core capital ratio adjusted for valuation loss, etc. has still been low among regional banks in the BBB categories considering the equity content.

Issuer: Jimoto Holdings, Inc.

Jimoto HD is the financial holding company of the Group. The issuer rating is deemed to be equivalent to the Group's creditworthiness. Double leverage ratio remains below a certain level, and considering the Group's financial management policy, stability of the cash flow balance appears to be maintained. Therefore, JCR has not reflected the structural subordination of a holding company.

Issuer: Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.

- (1) Kirayaka Bank is a regional bank II headquartered in Yamagata City, Yamagata Prefecture with a fund volume of 1.1 trillion yen. Kirayaka Bank's market share remains 12% for deposits (excluding Japan Post Bank) and 15% for lending in the prefecture. On the other hand, it maintains a top level for the balance of loans extended to SMEs. Stability of profits is low as it reported deficits for multiple fiscal years in the past. Considering Kirayaka Bank's core position in the Group, as well as other factors including standalone creditworthiness and size, JCR deems its issuer rating to be at the same level as the Group's creditworthiness. Attention needs to be paid to the trend in credit cost, but JCR assumes public support will underpin the rating.
- (2) Earning capacity is commensurate with banks in the BBB rating categories. Core net business income (excluding gains/losses on cancellation of investment trusts), which had been flat since FY2022, is now weakening. This is mainly attributable to a decrease in fees for customer assets and an increase in expenses centering on personnel expenses. However, a yield gap between deposit and loan has expanded, and interest income related bonds investment has increased. JCR sees underlying earnings will remain steady into the future.
- (3) Loan asset quality is pale. Non-performing loans ratio under the Financial Reconstruction Act has remained high, standing at 5.9% as at the end of the first half of FY2025. Although credit cost is stable, the trends should be closely watched because Kirayaka Bank intends to involve in business turnaround support in the future as well.

Issuer: THE SENDAI BANK LTD.

- (1) SENDAI BANK is a regional bank II headquartered in Sendai City, Miyagi Prefecture with a fund volume of 1.2 trillion yen. The market share is small as shown by 7% for deposits (excluding Japan Post Bank) and 10% for lending in the prefecture but has been stable. It steadily secures a certain level of profits in a stable manner by appropriately controlling risks associated with investments and loans. Considering SENDAI BANK's core position in the Group, as well as other factors including standalone creditworthiness and size, JCR deems its issuer rating to be at the same level as the Group's creditworthiness.
- (2) Earning capacity is somewhat low as a bank in the BBB categories. However, core business net income (excluding gains/losses on cancellation of investment trusts), which had been in a downtrend since peaked in FY2021, is now gradually increasing again. Yield between deposit and lending is widening, and the balance of lending also remains steady. JCR sees the underlying earnings will recover as the pace of expansion in loan-deposit income accelerates.
- (3) Loan asset quality is favorable by and large. Non-performing loans ratio under the Financial Reconstruction Act is somewhat high, standing at 3.5% as at the end of the first half of FY2025, but the coverage ratio is over 90% because SENDAI BANK has adopted conservative provisions. With respect to loans to the real estate industry, where credits tend to become large, disciplined credit management is carried out under a well-developed management structure. Considering a high coverage ratio for non-performing loans, low classification rate, etc., it is unlikely that credit cost will become a large amount.

Atsushi Kato, Michiya Kidani

Rating

Issuer: Jimoto Holdings, Inc.

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating: BBB- Outlook: Stable

Issuer: Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating: BBB- Outlook: Stable

Issuer: THE SENDAI BANK LTD.

<Affirmation>

Long-term Issuer Rating: BBB- Outlook: Stable

Rating Assignment Date: December 19, 2025

The assumptions for the credit ratings and the definitions of the rating symbols are published as "Types of Credit Ratings and Definitions of Rating Symbols" (January 6, 2014) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/>).

Outline of the rating methodology is shown as "JCR's Rating Methodology" (October 1, 2024), "Banks" (October 1, 2021) and "Rating Methodology for Financial Groups' Holding Companies and Group Companies" (September 1, 2022) in Information about JCR Ratings on JCR's website (<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/>).

The rating stakeholder participated in the rating process of the aforementioned credit ratings.

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026

Information herein has been obtained by JCR from the issuers and other sources believed to be accurate and reliable. However, because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, JCR makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to accuracy, results, adequacy, timeliness, completeness or merchantability, or fitness for any particular purpose, with respect to any such information, and is not responsible for any errors or omissions, or for results obtained from the use of such information. Under no circumstances will JCR be liable for any special, indirect, incidental or consequential damages of any kind caused by the use of any such information, including but not limited to, lost opportunity or lost money, whether in contract, tort, strict liability or otherwise, and whether such damages are foreseeable or unforeseeable. JCR's ratings and credit assessments are statements of JCR's current and comprehensive opinion regarding redemption possibility, etc. of financial obligations assumed by the issuers or financial products, and not statements of opinion regarding any risk other than credit risk, such as market liquidity risk or price fluctuation risk. JCR's ratings and credit assessments are statements of opinion, and not statements of fact as to credit risk decisions or recommendations regarding decisions to purchase, sell or hold any securities such as individual bonds or commercial paper. The ratings and credit assessments may be changed, suspended or withdrawn as a result of changes in or unavailability of information as well as other factors. JCR receives a rating fee paid by issuers for conducting rating services in principle. JCR retains all rights pertaining to this document, including JCR's rating data. Any reproduction, adaptation, alteration, etc. of this document, including such rating data, is prohibited, whether or not wholly or partly, without prior consent of JCR.

JCR is registered as a "Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization" with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to the following four classes. (1) Financial institutions, brokers and dealers, (2) Insurance Companies, (3) Corporate Issuers, (4) Issuers of government securities, municipal securities and foreign government securities.

JCR publishes its press releases regarding the rating actions both in Japanese and in English on the same day. In case that it takes time to translate rating rationale, JCR may publicize the summary version, which will be replaced by the full translated version within three business days. (Regarding Structured Finance products, JCR only publicize the summary version in English.)



INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Disclosure Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of Rule 17g-7

Issuer:	Jimoto Holdings, Inc.
Issuer:	Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.
Issuer:	The Sendai Bank, Ltd.
Rating Publication Date:	December 24, 2025

1

The Symbol, Number, or Score in the Rating Scale used to Denote Credit Rating Categories and Notches and, the Identity of the Obligor or the Identity and a Description of the Security or Money Market Instrument as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(A) of Rule 17g-7

- Please see the news release. If the credit rating is a private rating, please see the report for private rating.

2

The version of the procedure or methodology used to determine the credit rating; as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(B) of Rule 17g-7

- Please see the news release. If the credit rating is a private rating, please see the report for private rating.

3

The Main Assumptions and Principles used in Constructing the Procedures and Methodologies used to Determine the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(C) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, to be applied to assess the likelihood of a given debt payment in light of its issuer's condition and business environment, etc. in the relevant future. There is certain limitation, however, in the time horizon that the rating foresees.
- The credit rating methodology assumes, in principle, that the factors posted in the below are particularly important for such likelihood to be determined, and that the rating determination is made by evaluating each of them not only quantitatively but also employing qualitative analyses.

A) Business Bases

The likelihood of a given debt payment is highly conditional to its issuer's business bases - how they can be maintained/ expanded into the future and thereby secure earnings and cash flows in adequacy and in a sustainable way.

B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the degree of the issuer's indebtedness and loss absorption capacity in terms of equity capital. Also notable is that a financial institution might see a significant loss of financial grounds as a result of changes in value of the assets under its possession.

C) Liquidity Positions

The likelihood of debt payment is highly dependent on the adequacy of the issuer's cash and other sources of repayment (liquidity positions).

D) Related Parties' Status and Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Issuer

The likelihood of debt payment is affected one way or the other by the issuer's related parties such as parent company, subsidiary, guarantor, and the government of the issuer's business domicile, etc. - by their own conditions and/ or position of support/ assistance for the issuer.

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment

The likelihood of debt payment can be different between given debts of the same issuer. The likelihood of debt payment for an individual debt is dependent on the issuer's discretion, and/ or its rank relative to other debts of the same issuer in the order of seniority in principal/ interest payment which is determined by design as financial product or by laws, etc.

4 The Potential Limitations of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(D) of Rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- The objective of the credit rating herewith presented does not include any concerns other than the likelihood of debt payment, such as risks of price changes, market liquidity, etc.
- The credit rating herewith presented is necessary to be reviewed along with possible changes of the issuer of rated objects in its business performance and/ or circumstances which include regulatory environment, and hence subject to possible alteration.

5 Information on the Uncertainty of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(E) of Rule 17g-7

- The information used for the determination of credit rating as herewith presented is obtained by JCR from the issuer of rated objects and other sources that JCR trusts in terms of accuracy and reliability but possibly contains errors due to human, non-human or other causes. Consequently, the credit rating determined on the grounds of such information does not constitute, explicitly or implicitly, any representation or warrant of JCR on the information itself or any consequences of its use in terms of accuracy, relevance, timeliness, wholeness, market value, or usefulness for any specific purposes.

6 Use of Due Diligence Services of a Third Party in Taking the Rating Action as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(F) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any third-party due diligence service in the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.

7 Use of Servicer or Remittance Reports to Conduct Surveillance of the Credit Rating Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(G) of Rule 17g-7

- There is no use of any servicer or remittance report to conduct surveillance of the credit rating herewith presented.

8 The Types of Data Relied Upon for the Purpose of Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(H) of Rule 17g-7

- The information posted in the below, which includes data, is used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented.
- A) Audited financial statements presented by the rating stakeholders
- B) Explanations of business performance, management plans, etc. presented by the rating stakeholders

9 Overall assessment of the Quality of Information Available and Considered in Determining the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(I) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR holds its basic policies for securing the quality of information as a base of due diligence for the determination of credit ratings. The information used as a base for the determination of credit rating herewith presented satisfies such policies, which include the audit by an independent auditor, the warranty made by the issuer, the publication by the issuer, some independent media or, otherwise, JCR analyst's scrutiny, etc.
- JCR sees no particular weakness in the quality of information used for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented as compared to the information used in other cases of the credit rating for comparable issuers or ratable objects.
- If the credit rating is an Indication, please see the report for Indication.

10 Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of Rule 17g-7

- JCR receives payment of compensation for the determination of the credit rating herewith presented from either one of those parties who are issuer, underwriter, depositor or sponsor.
- JCR did not receive in the last fiscal year in the past payment of compensation from the same party for any kind of JCR's service other than the determination of public or private credit rating, such as one in the ancillary business.

11 Explanation or Measure of the Potential Volatility of the Credit Rating as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(K) of Rule 17g-7

A) Business Bases

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is improvement or deterioration of the issuer's business bases, since its revenue, etc. may improve or deteriorate by the change in its business management policies, clients' preferences, competitive situation, or a technological innovation. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the business bases is large.

B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The credit rating is subject to alteration if the issuer increases/ decreases its debt/ capital or vice versa and thereby makes its individual debt payment liability less or more bearable and its loss absorption capacity into the future decreased or increased. Also, the changes in the quality of asset under the issuer's holding may affect the credit rating, since such changes could raise or lower the likelihood of future loss of the issuer's financial grounds. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a

notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change in the financial grounds and/ or asset quality is large.

C) Liquidity Positions

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's financial management policy or in the relations with fund procurement sources and the change thereby makes its liquidity positions improve or deteriorate. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

D) Related Parties' Status and Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Issuer

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the issuer's parent company or subsidiary, guarantor or other provider of credit enhancement, or the government of the issuer's business domicile, or other related parties' own conditions and/ or position of support/ assistance for the issuer, and the change thereby makes its business bases, financial grounds and/ or liquidity positions improve or deteriorate, and/ or making the effectiveness of guarantee and other credit enhancement improve or deteriorate. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large.

E) Order of Seniority in Debt Payment and Non-Payment Forgiven by Contract

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a change in the rated debt's status in the order of seniority relative to other debts caused by the improvement/ deterioration of the issuer's financial condition. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is large. Also, in case of the financial products for which non-payment of interest/ principal is contractually permissible, the credit rating is subject to alteration if and when the likelihood of such non-payment is projected to increase or decrease. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but often as much as a few notches.

F) Rise and Fall in General Economy and Markets

The credit rating is subject to alteration if there is a rise/ fall in the general economy and/ or the markets inducing the issuer's revenues/ expenses to increase/ decrease and vice versa, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating is usually by a notch, with possibility of a few notches if and when the change is exceptionally large.

G) Various Events

The credit rating is subject to alteration on occurrence of various events, such as change in the issuer's major shareholders, M&A and other organizational change, accident, violation of the law, litigation, legal/ regulatory change, natural disaster, etc., which are unforeseeable at the time when the credit rating is determined, causing a significant change on the issuer's business bases, financial grounds, etc. The resultant alteration of the credit rating could be by a notch but more often than not as much as a few notches.

12

Information on the Content of the Credit Rating, Including the Historical Performance of the Credit Rating and the Expected Probability of Default and the Expected Loss in the Event of Default as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(L) of Rule 17g-7

- Historical records of the credit rating herewith presented are posted in the end of this paper.
- The credit rating herewith presented by JCR is its summary opinion with regard to the likelihood of given debt payment and hence not necessarily a perfect representation of such likelihood. The credit rating is not intended to estimate the probability of default or the loss on given default, either.
- Facts of the probability of default are posted as Form NRSRO Exhibit 1 on the JCR website under the URL:

<https://www.jcr.co.jp/en/service/company/regu/nrsro/>

13

Information on the Sensitivity of the Credit Rating to Assumptions Made as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(M) of Rule 17g-7

A) Business Bases

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's business bases and powers of

earning or cash flow generation, etc. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's business bases on some drastic change in the operational environments, etc.

B) Financial Grounds and Asset Quality

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's financial grounds and asset quality. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's financial grounds and/ or asset quality on some drastic change in its business bases.

C) Liquidity Risks

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's liquidity positions. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if the development is rapid in improvement or deterioration of the issuer's liquidity positions on some drastic change in its financial management policy or relations with fund procurement sources, etc.

D) Related Parties' Status and Stance of Support/ Assistance for the Issuer

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the issuer's parent company or subsidiaries, guarantor or other providers of credit enhancement, the government of the issuer's business domicile or other related parties' status and stance of support/ assistance for the issuer. The resultant change of the credit rating is most likely by a notch, as JCR speculates, but possibly as much as a few notches if there is a major change on the part of related parties, such as replacement, disappearance, some drastic improvement/ deterioration of financial grounds/ balances, etc.

E) Rise and Fall in General Economy and Markets

The credit rating herewith presented could be changed if and when the assumptions made at the time of its determination turn out to be inaccurate with regard to the prospects of general economy and markets. JCR expects the change should be most likely by a notch but could be as much as a few notches, should the economy or the markets change so greatly.

14

Information on the Representations, Warranties, and Enforcement Mechanisms of an Asset-backed Security as Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(N) of rule 17g-7

- The credit rating herewith presented is not for an ABS product, and hence no relevant issue.

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026

The Historical Performance of the Credit Rating

Issuer Name	Issue Name	Publication Date	Rating	Outlook/Direction
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 1, 2012	BBB-	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 6, 2013	BBB-	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 4, 2014	BBB-	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 16, 2015	BBB-	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 7, 2016	BBB-	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 6, 2017	BBB-	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 30, 2018	BBB-	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 18, 2019	BBB-	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	November 4, 2020	BBB-	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 29, 2021	BBB	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	December 27, 2022	BBB-	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	February 29, 2024	BBB-	Stable
Jimoto Holdings, Inc.	Issuer(Long-term)	November 26, 2024	BBB-	Stable

The Historical Performance of the Credit Rating

Issuer Name	Issue Name	Publication Date	Rating	Outlook/Direction
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	February 17, 2006	BBB+	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	February 16, 2007	#BBB+	Negative
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	May 2, 2007	BBB	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	April 25, 2008	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	May 29, 2009	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	May 19, 2010	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 26, 2010	#BBB-	Positive
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 18, 2011	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 1, 2012	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 6, 2013	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 4, 2014	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 16, 2015	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 7, 2016	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 6, 2017	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 30, 2018	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 18, 2019	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	November 4, 2020	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 29, 2021	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	December 27, 2022	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	February 29, 2024	BBB-	Stable
Kirayaka Bank, Ltd.	Issuer(Long-term)	November 26, 2024	BBB-	Stable

The Historical Performance of the Credit Rating

Issuer Name	Issue Name	Publication Date	Rating	Outlook/Direction
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 2, 2007	BBB+	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 31, 2008	BBB	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 19, 2009	BBB	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 1, 2010	BBB	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 26, 2010	#BBB	Negative
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 18, 2011	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 1, 2012	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 6, 2013	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	September 4, 2014	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 16, 2015	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 7, 2016	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 6, 2017	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 30, 2018	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 18, 2019	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	November 4, 2020	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	October 29, 2021	BBB	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	December 27, 2022	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	February 29, 2024	BBB-	Stable
THE SENDAI BANK LTD.	Issuer(Long-term)	November 26, 2024	BBB-	Stable

Attestation Required by Paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of Rule 17g-7

I, Tomohiro Miyao, have responsibility to this Rating Action and to the best of my knowledge:

- A) No part of the credit rating was influenced by any other business activities.
- B) The credit rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor, security, or money market instrument being rated.
- C) The credit rating was an independent evaluation of the credit risk of the obligor, security, or money market instrument.

宮尾 知浩

Tomohiro Miyao

General Manager of Financial Institution Rating Department

Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd.

Jiji Press Building, 5-15-8 Ginza, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0061, Japan
Tel. +81 3 3544 7013, Fax. +81 3 3544 7026